

3D Computer Vision
and Video Computin The Importance of Visual Motion
Structure from Motion

- Apparent motion is a strong visual clue for 3D reconstruction - More than a multi-camera stereo system
- Recognition by motion (only)
- Biological visual systems use visual motion to infer properties of 3D world with little a priori knowledge of it
- Blurred image sequence
- Visual Motion = Video! [Go to CVPR 2004-2010 Sites for Workshops]
- Video Coding and Compression: MPEG 1, 2, 4, 7 ...
- Video Mosaicing and Layered Representation for IBR
- Surveillance (Human Tracking and Traffic Monitoring)
- HCl using Human Gesture (video camera)
- Image-based Rendering
- ...


## Blurred Sequence

Recognition by Actions: Recognize object from motion even if we cannot distinguish it in any images ...


An up-sampling from images of resolution 15x20 pixels
From: James W. Davis. MIT Media Lab

- Two Subproblems
- Correspondence: Which elements of a frame correspond to which elements in the next frame?
- Reconstruction :Given a number of correspondences, and possibly the knowledge of the camera's intrinsic parameters, how to recovery the 3-D motion and structure of the observed world
- Main Difference between Motion and Stereo
- Correspondence: the disparities between consecutive frames are much smaller due to dense temporal sampling
- Reconstruction: the visual motion could be caused by multiple motions (instead of a single 3D rigid transformation)
- The Third Subproblem, and Fourth...
- Motion Segmentation: what are the regions the the image plane corresponding to different moving objects?
- Motion Understanding: lip reading, gesture, expression, event...


## Approaches

- Two Subproblems
- Correspondence:
- Differential Methods - >dense measure (optical flow)
- Matching Methods -> sparse measure
- Reconstruction : More difficult than stereo since
- Motion (3D transformation betw. Frames) as well as structure needs to be recovered
- Small baseline causes large errors
- The Third Subproblem
- Motion Segmentation: Chicken and Egg problem
- Which should be solved first? Matching or Segmentation
- Segmentation for matching elements
- Matching for Segmentation


## and Video computinThe Motion Field of Rigid Objects

- Motion:
- 3D Motion ( R, T):
- camera motion (static scene)
- or single object motion
- Only one rigid, relative motion between the camera and the scene (object)
- Image motion field:
- 2D vector field of velocities of the image points induced by the relative motion.
- Data: Image sequence
- Many frames
- captured at time $\mathrm{t}=0,1,2, \ldots$
- Basics: only consider two consecutive frames
- We consider a reference frame and its consecutive frame
- Image motion field
- can be viewed disparity map of the two frames captured at two consecutive camera locations ( assuming we have a moving camera)
3D Computer Vision
a and Video computinThe Motion Field of Rigid Objects
- Notations
- $\mathrm{P}=(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})^{\mathrm{T}}$ : 3-D point in the camera reference frame $\mathbf{p}=\frac{f}{Z} \mathbf{P}$
- $p=(x, y, f)^{\top}$ : the projection of the scene point in the pinhole camera
- Relative motion between P and the camera

$$
\mathbf{V}=-\mathbf{T}-\omega \times \mathbf{P}
$$

- $\mathrm{T}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{x}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{T}_{z}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ : translation component of the motion
- $\omega=\left(\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}, \omega_{z}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ : the angular velocity
- Note:
- How to connect this with stereo geometry (with $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T}$ )?
- Image velocity $\mathrm{v}=$ ?




## Basic Equations of Motion Field

$$
\mathbf{v}=\frac{f}{Z^{2}}\left(Z \mathbf{V}-V_{z} \mathbf{P}\right)
$$

- The motion field is the sum of two components
- Translational part
- Rotational part

$$
\mathbf{p}=\frac{f}{Z} \mathbf{P}
$$

- Assume known intrinsic parameters

$$
\mathbf{V}=-\mathbf{T}-\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{P}
$$



Rotation part: no depth
Translation part: depth Z information

## 3D Computer Vision

## and Video Computing

## Motion Field vs. Disparity

- Correspondence and Point Displacements

| Stereo | Motion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Disparity | Motion field |
| Displacement - (dx, dy) | Differential concept - <br> velocity $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$, i.e. time <br> derivative (dx/dt, dy/dt) |
| No such constraint | Consecutive frame close <br> to guarantee good <br> discrete approximation |



## 3D Computer Vision

## - and Video Compuil

## Special Case 1: Pure Translation

- Pure Translation ( $\omega=0$ )
- Radial Motion Field (Tz <> 0)
- Vanishing point $\mathrm{pO}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ :
- motion direction
- FOE (focus of expansion)
- Vectors away from p0 if Tz <0
- FOC (focus of contraction)
- Vectors towards p0 if Tz > 0
- Depth estimation
- depth inversely proportional to magnitude of motion vector v, and also proportional to distance from $p$ to $p_{0}$
- Parallel Motion Field (Tz= 0)
- Depth estimation:
- depth inversely proportional to magnitude of motion vector $v$



## Special Case 2: Pure Rotation

- Pure Rotation (T =0)
- Does not carry 3D information
- Motion Field (approximation)

- Small motion
- A quadratic polynomial in image coordinates $(x, y, f)^{\top}$
- Image Transformation between two frames (accurate)
- Motion can be large
- Homography (3x3 matrix) for all points
- Image mosaicing from a rotating camera
- 360 degree panorama
$\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{R p}$


## 3D Computer Vision

and Video Comnu ing Special Case 3: Moving Plane

- Planes are common in the man-made world

- Motion Field (approximation)
- Given small motion

- a quadratic polynomial in image

$$
\text { Only has } 8 \text { independent parameters (write it out!) }
$$

- Image Transformation between two frames (accurate)
- Any amount of motion (arbitrary)
- Homography (3x3 matrix) for all points
- See Topic 5 Camera Models
- Image Mosaicing for a planar scene
- Aerial image sequence
- Video of blackboard


## Special Cases: A Summary

- Pure Translation
- Vanishing point and FOE (focus of expansion)
- Only translation contributes to depth estimation
- Pure Rotation
- Does not carry 3D information
- Motion field: a quadratic polynomial in image, or
- Transform: Homography (3x3 matrix R) for all points
- Image mosaicing from a rotating camera
- Moving Plane
- Motion field is a quadratic polynomial in image, or
- Transform: Homography ( $3 \times 3$ matrix A) for all points
- Image mosaicing for a planar scene


## Motion Parallax

- [Observation 1] The relative motion field of two instantaneously coincident points
- Does not depend on the rotational component of motion
- Points towards (away from) the vanishing point of the translation direction
- [Observation 2] The motion field of two frames after rotation compensation
- only includes the translation component
- points towards (away from) the vanishing point p0 ( the instantaneous epipole)
- the length of each motion vector is inversely proportional to the depth, and also proportional to the distance from point $p$ to the vanishing point p0 of the translation direction
- Question: how to remove rotation?
- Active vision : rotation known approximately?


## Motion Parallax

- [Observation 1] The relative motion field of two instantaneously coincident points
- Does not depend on the rotational component of motion
- Points towards (away from) the vanishing point of the translation direction (the instantaneous epipole)

At instant t , three pairs of points happen to be coincident

The difference of the motion vectors of each pair cancels the rotational components
and the relative motion field point in ( towards or away from) the VP of the translational


## 3D Computer Vision

## and Viden Comnuting Motion Parallax

[Observation 2] The motion field of two frames after rotation compensation

- only includes the translation component
$\frac{v_{y}^{T}}{v_{x}^{T}}=\frac{y-y_{0}}{x-x_{0}}$
- points towards (away from) the vanishing point p0 ( the instantaneous epipole)
- the length of each motion vector is inversely proportional to the depth,
- and also proportional to the distance from point p to the vanishing point p0 of the translation direction (if Tz <> 0)


Question: how to remove rotation?

- Active vision : rotation known approximately?
- Rotation compensation can be done by image warping after finding three (3) pairs of $|\mathbf{v}|=\frac{T_{z}}{Z} \sqrt{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}}$ coincident points


## and Video Computing <br> Summary

- Importance of visual motion (apparent motion)
- Many applications...
- Problems:
- correspondence, reconstruction, segmentation, understanding in $x-y$-t space
- Image motion field of rigid objects
- Time derivative of both sides of the projection equation
- Three important special cases
- Pure translation - FOE
- Pure rotation - no 3D information, but lead to mosaicing
- Moving plane - homography with arbitrary motion
- Motion parallax
- Only depends on translational component of motion



## Notion of Optical Flow

- The Notion of Optical Flow
- Brightness constancy equation
- Under most circumstance, the apparent brightness of moving objects remain constant
- Optical Flow Equation
- Relation of the apparent motion with the spatial and temporal

$$
E_{x} u+E_{y} v+E_{t}=0
$$ derivatives of the image brightness

- Aperture problem
- Only the component of the motion field in the direction of the spatial image gradient can be determined
- The component in the direction perpendicular to the spatial gradient is not constrained by the optical flow equation



## 3D Computer Vision

## and Viden Commuting <br> Estimating Optical Flow

## - Constant Flow Method

- Assumption: the motion field is well approximated by a constant vector within any small region of the image plane
- Solution: Least square of two variables (u,v) from NxN Equations - NxN (=5x5) planar patch
- Condition: ATA is NOT singular (null or parallel gradients)
- Weighted Least Square Method
- Assumption: the motion field is approximated by a constant vector within any small region, and the error made by the approximation increases with the distance from the center where optical flow is to be computed
- Solution: Weighted least square of two variables $(u, v)$ from NxN Equations - NxN patch
- Affine Flow Method
- Assumption: the motion field is well approximated by a affine parametric model $\mathrm{u}^{\top}=\mathrm{Ap}^{\top}+\mathrm{b}$ (a plane patch with arbitrary orientation)
- Solution: Least square of 6 variables (A,b) from NxN Equations - NxN planar patch


## 3D Computer Vision

## and Virden Commuling

## Using Optical Flow

3D motion and structure from optical flow (p 208-212)

- Input:
- Intrinsic camera parameters
- dense motion field (optical flow) of single rigid motion
- Algorithm
- ( good comprise between ease of implementation and quality of results)
- Stage 1: Translation direction
- Epipole (x0, y0) through approximate motion parallax
- Key: Instantaneously coincident image points

- Approximation: estimating differences for ALMOST coincident image points
- Stage 2: Rotation flow and Depth
- Knowns: flow vector, and direction of translational component
- One point, one equation (without depth)-

Least square approximation of the rotational component of flow

- From motion field to depth
- Output
- Direction of translation (f Tx/Tz, f Ty/Tz, f) $=(x 0, y 0, f)$
- Angular velocity
- 3-D coordinates of scene points (up to a common unknown scale)
- Step 1. Get (Tx, Ty, Tz) = s (x0,y0,f)
- Step 2. For every point ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{f}$ ) with known v, get one equation about $\omega$ from the motion equation (by eliminate $Z$ since it's different from point to point)
- Step 3. Get Z (up to a scale s) given T/s and $\omega$


Rotation part: no depth information

Translation part: depth Z

## 3D Computer Vision

## and Video Computing <br> Feature-Based Approach

- Two frame method - Feature matching
- An Algorithm Based on the Constant Flow Method
- Features - corners detection by observing the coefficient matrix of the spatial gradient evaluation ( $2 \times 2$ matrix $A^{\top} A$ )
- Iteration approach: estimation - warping - comparison
- Multiple frame method - Feature tracking
- Kalman Filter Algorithm
- Estimating the position and uncertainty of a moving feature in the next frame
- Two parts: prediction (from previous trajectory) and measurement from feature matching
- Using a sparse motion field
- 3D motion and structure by feature tracking over frames
- Factorization method
- Orthographic projection model
- Feature tracking over multiple frames
- SVD
- Change Detection
- Stationary camera(s), multiple moving subjects
- Background modeling and updating
- Background subtraction
- Occlusion handling
- Layered representation (I)- rotating camera
- Rotating camera + Independent moving objects
- Sprite - background mosaicing
- Synopsis - foreground object sequences
- Layered representation (II)- translating (and rotating) camera
- Arbitrary camera motion
- Scene segmentation into layers


## Summary

- After learning motion, you should be able to
- Explain the fundamental problems of motion analysis
- Understand the relation of motion and stereo
- Estimate optical flow from a image sequence
- Extract and track image features over time
- Estimate 3D motion and structure from sparse motion field
- Extract Depth from 3D ST image formation under translational motion
- Know some important application of motion, such as change detection, image mosaicing and motion-based segmentation


